1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 CASE NO. C13-5948 RJB TIMOTHY DIETZ, 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. 13 QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP. OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 14 WASHINGTON; WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE; WELLS FARGO 15 BANK,N.A.; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.; 16 MERSCORP, INC.; McCARTHY & HOLTHUS LLP; DOE DEFENDANTS 1-17 20, 18 Defendants. 19 This matter comes before the Court on review of the record. On October 31, 2013, the 20 Court filed an Order regarding initial disclosures and joint status report. Dkt. 3 In that order, the 21 Court directed that the parties file a Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan not later 22 than January 29, 2014, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 16 and Local Rule CR 16(a). Id. The Court 23 further directed that Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel serve copies of the minute order on all parties 24

who appeared after the order was filed. *Id.* The Court directed that Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel would be responsible for starting the communications needed to comply with the order. 2 3 Id. On February 10, 2014, Plaintiff filed second amended complaint (Dkt. 20) and the Court entered an Order renoting the deadline for filing the Combined Joint Status Report to May 12, 2014. Dkt. 21. On March 7, 2014, notices of appearance were filed by Defendants Quality Loan 5 6 Service Corp. of Washington and McCarthy & Holthus, LLP. Dkts 24 & 25. Defendants Wells 7 Fargo and MERS were subsequently dismissed from this action on March 25, 2014. Dkt. 30. 8 Defendants Quality Loan Service Corp. of Washington and McCarthy & Holthus, LLP remained in the action. *Id*. 10 May 12, 2014 has passed and the Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan has not been filed in this case, nor have the parties requested an extension of time to comply with the 12 Court's Order. Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(f)(1) provides that the Court, on motion or on its own, may issue 13 "any just orders including those authorized by Fed.R.Civ.P. 37(b)(2)(A)(ii)-(vii)" if a party "fails to obey a scheduling or other pretrial order." Under Fed.R.Civ.P. 37(b)(2)(A)(v), the Court may 14 15 dismiss an action or proceeding in whole or in part for failure to obey a court order. 16 It is Plaintiff's responsibility to initiate communications needed to comply with the 17 Court's orders requiring a Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan, and he has not shown that he has done so. Accordingly, Plaintiff may show cause in writing, if any he has, why 18 this case should not be dismissed without prejudice under Fed.R.Civ.P.16(f)(1) and 19 20 37(b)(2)(A)(v). Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that, not later than June 19, 2014, Plaintiff may show 22 cause in writing, if any he has, why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for 23 failure to comply with Fed.R.Civ.P. 16 and Local Rule CR 16(a).

21

24

11

1	Dated this 28 th of May, 2014.
2	Alan
3	Kaler & Byan
4	ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	